Before theFEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of 2002 Biennial Regulatory Review - Review of the Commission's Broadcast Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking,
MM Docket No. 02-277, (rel. Sept. 23, 2002)

To: The Secretary, FCC Commissioners, and Chief, Media Bureau

I would like to write to you with my views on Docket No. 02-277, the Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I support promoting the goals of fair competition, diversity and, above all, local voices in today's media market. Therefore, I strongly urge that the FCC should retain all of the current media ownership rules presently in question. These rules are vital. They serve the public interest by limiting the market power of the huge, dominant companies and players in the broadcast industry. If anything, they are already too weak.

The studies the FCC has commissioned do not accurately demonstrate, or even attempt to demonstrate, the negative effects that media deregulation and consolidation have had on the diversity of our media. While there may indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented has been severely limited.

This has been disasterous for our country and all points of view.

The right to conduct an informed debate and discussion of current events is part of the founding philosophy of our nation. Our forefathers believed that democracy was renewed in the marketplace of diverse ideas. If the FCC allows our media outlets to merge and consolidate further, our ability to have an open, informed discussion from a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

I urge the FCC to preserve the public interest by keeping the media ownership rules in question intact.

Although it is good the FCC plans to hold public hearings on this matter in Richmond, VA in February of 2003, that is no where enough. I strongly urge the Commission to hold similar hearings in all parts of the country and solicit the widest possible participation from the public. The rarified, lawyerly atmosphere of an FCC rulemaking is not an appropriate decision-making venue when questions as profound as the freedom of our media are at stake. I encourage the Commissioners to come out and meet some of the people who do not have a financial interest in this issue, but a social interest.

With the serious impact these rule changes will have on our democracy, it is important that the Commission take the time to review these issues more thoroughly and allow the American people to have a meaningful say in the process.

Thank you very much,

Larry Dudley 20 Mason St. Glens Falls, NY 12801